APBON 2018-07-06 PM: Breakoustsession on Forest plot network (red is additional comments during the meeting in 7/7)

## **Summary**:

The group has discussed how to move to the next phase, as 2020 (in the context of the Aichi biodiversity targets) approaches. The following three were common concerns.

- 1) how to build on to accumulated activities of APBON to date
- 2) what are gaps and challenges
- 3) how to decide the super sites (or master sites, if we adopt the term from ILTER)
- Links to ILTER, IPBES, CBD-COP, and **policy relevance** are obviously important.
- In selection of **super/master sites**, not only the high diversity or endemism, but **representativeness** of the selected sites (for the region or ecosystem/community type), and usefulness for **capacity building**, should be considered.
- Wholistic approach, emcopassing plants, animals (mammals, insects) and soils, phenology, ecosytem aspects (e.g., microclimate), traditional ecological knoledge, landscape perspective, should be integrated in montoring (integration of terrestiral-freshwater-marine, possibly via remote sensing, and have sites grouped). Doing so, do not forget the stakeholders (esp. benefits to local communities, how do they benefit from the monitoring activities)(link to MAB of UNESCO).
- Many participants recognize the multitudes of challenges for data sharing, and stress the importance of standardization of methods. (+sustainability of sites, i.e., repeated monitoring sites: how? in China, government support at national & regional levels)(ability to continue by having mutliple collaborating parties responsible)

Follow up discussion from Yahara-san.

Criteria for EBSA (Ecologically and Biologically Significant Areas) (Clark et al. 2014)

Criterion 1: uniqueness and rarity

Criterion 2: special importance for life-history stages of species

Criterion 3: importance for threatened, endangered or declining species and/or habitats

Criterion 4: vulnerability, fragility, sensitivity and slow recovery

Criterion 5: biological productivity

Criterion 6: biological diversity

Criterion 7: naturalness



## Ocean & Coastal Management



Volume 91, April 2014, Pages 65-79

Identifying Ecologically or Biologically Significant Areas (EBSA): A systematic method and its application to seamounts in the South Pacific Ocean

Malcolm R. Clark <sup>a</sup> △ , Ashley A. Rowden <sup>a</sup>, Thomas A. Schlacher <sup>b</sup>, John Guinotte <sup>c</sup>, Piers K. Dunstan <sup>d</sup>, Alan Williams <sup>d</sup>, Timothy D. O'Hara <sup>e</sup>, Les Watling <sup>f</sup>, Edwin Niklitschek <sup>g</sup>, Shinji Tsuchida <sup>h</sup>